

Impact of Technology Transfer on Organizational Performance

 Raima Adeel¹

 Shazia Hanif²

 Sana Mariam³

 Maryam Tariq⁴

 Javaria Tayyab⁵

How to cite this article:

Adeel, R., Hanif, S., Mariam, S., Tariq, M., & Tayyab, J. (2024). Impact of technology transfer on organizational performance. *Journal of Excellence in Management Sciences*, 3(3), 82–97.

Received: 8 March 2024 / Accepted: 27 May 2024 / Published online: 17 July 2024

Abstract

The present research examines the intricate relationship between technology transfer (TT) and Organization Performance (OP) to highlight TT's critical role in corporate environments. After carefully studying the tables above, some significant conclusions may be drawn. First, internal solid consistency exists for the TT and OP variables, indicating reliable measurement equipment. Moreover, TT and OP have a substantial positive correlation, with TT accounting for around 72.3% of the variance in OP. Thirdly, the high significance of the regression equation indicates that TT correctly predicts revisions to OP. Furthermore, both individualized and standardized coefficients highlight the intensity and importance of the relationship, confirming TT's competence in forecasting OP. Minimal issues with multi-collinearity are displayed, supporting the logistic regression algorithm's durability. Furthermore, residuals show slight fluctuation and are tightly grouped around the standard error, confirming the model's sufficiency and dependability. To sum up, these results emphasize how important TT is in forming OP. The statistically significant nature of the association and the regression model's robustness and reliability highlight how well-managed transferred technology programs may significantly improve the performance of organizations. Our survey-based study uses supplemental information from published literature and primary data gathered through organized questionnaires. Using a mix of questionnaires, qualitative research, and research evaluation, the research project offers helpful data with practical implications for businesses looking for ways to improve

¹Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan

²Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan

³Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: mariumsana007@gmail.com

⁴Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan

⁵Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan



productivity via purposeful use of the transfer of technology techniques.

Keywords: Technology Transfer, Organization Performance

1 Introduction

Businesses compete fiercely with one another in today's environment. To remain competitive in the modern global economy, technology is crucial. Countries that have prospered during the past ten years include the USA, China, Malaysia, and Japan, which have invested sufficiently in developing their technological infrastructure. Pakistan lags behind other countries regarding innovation (Setiawan, [2019](#)).

According to the World Economic Report, Pakistan is ranked 83rd regarding technological files. This is because our country needs a better foundation for development and research. Our ineffective political structure contributes to the need for more opportunities for human development and education. Many Pakistani enterprises rely on more than manual processes and need more sophisticated technology. Businesses cannot operate with antiquated technology. This is frequently one of the leading causes of the nation's dependence on Developments from abroad (Sallan et al., [2024](#)).

Technology transfer is crucial to all industries, whether in the health, education, or other sectors. Transfer of technologies refers to all operations that facilitate the shift between the aspects' scope from empirical inquiry to the business sectors and market. These components encompass knowledge, expertise, manufacturing processes, administration, and skills. Accelerated technical advancements have minimized product life cycles and increased global competitiveness. Companies must acquire new technology to manufacture new goods more quickly than before, but doing so comes with costs and hazards. Technology is a vital resource for both countries and businesses (Zamfir, [2020](#)). Transferring technology from an established overseas market could be expensive. Developing nations must be technologically sophisticated to carve out a place for themselves in the global economy. Although costly and dangerous, technology transfer from industrialized to emerging nations benefits businesses and the national economy.

Acquiring and using technology properly may help you create a competitive edge. The barriers arise from the disparity between corporate and national cultures. In actuality, many countries want the arriving corporate culture and transferred technology to be integrated into their long-term competition strategy and hierarchical structure when implementing international technology transfer. Acknowledging this, the UN emphasizes how important it is to create, improve, and share new technologies and related information to promote sustainable development and economic progress. Enterprises continuously seek methods to improve efficiency and sustain a competitive advantage in the current dynamic and fiercely competitive commercial landscape. Technology transfer is transferring information, inventions, and technologies from one entity to another to encourage innovation, increase efficiency, and promote growth. Comprehending the effects of technology transfer on organizational performance (OP) is crucial for entities seeking to optimize this tactic.

This research will examine the intricate link between information transfer and business productivity to shed light on the importance of technology transfer in organizational contexts. By conducting a thorough investigation, we want to offer important insights to guide tactical decisions and enhance organizational outcomes. The research begins by providing a conceptual framework that explains technology transfer and organizational performance to shed light on the effects of contemporary technology efforts on many areas of business performance. We examine the mathematical foundation and practical implications of this link using data and research that has already been done. The first information obtained through systematic surveys is combined with

secondary data already available in the accessible literature to examine the empirical data thoroughly.

Using statistical methodologies, regression modeling, and quantitative assessment, we aim to determine how much technological device transfer programs help a business succeed. While considering the organization's culture, innovation capability, and outside inputs, we also look at intervening and moderating factors that could impact the link between technology transfer and organizational success. We aim to provide organizations seeking to improve their performance through strategically planned technology transfer programs with a thorough grasp of the research procedures and valuable insights by taking a holistic approach to the study (Munyoki, [2007](#)).

In conclusion, this study aims to add to the expanding corpus of knowledge on technology transfer and organizational performance by providing insightful analysis helpful to scholars, policymakers, and organizational leaders. Our goal is to enable companies to make well-informed decisions and use technology transfer as a strategic instrument to propel innovation, development, and competitive advantage by clarifying the effects of technology transfer on organizational performance. According to this research, the exchange of technology for performance led to mechanical development, which is the basis for the overall improvement of the nation's organizational performance to become more socialist and expand its economies.

As technology advances so quickly, technology sharing has become increasingly important. Organizations should continue developing cutting-edge innovations to set themselves apart from rivals. This is because employing advanced innovations helps address contemporary issues, such as underutilized and superior goods and services, activities, and forms, and refines them to increase productivity and sufficiency (Kiseleva & Kolosnitsina, [2008](#)). Technology transfer can enhance technical capacities and address emerging or poor countries' environmental, economic, and social issues (Noland & Pack, [2007](#)). According to Sung (2009), technology transfer ropes organizational performance possibilities. Businesses that employ cutting-edge technology see advances in productivity and quality, and those that do not see declines in productivity and performance.

According to Claver et al. (2014), technology transfer performance gives businesses a high degree of variety, meets consumer demand, improves brand image, and lowers costs by reducing mistakes and time wastage while enabling process improvements. To highlight the significance of technology transfer in organizational contexts, a study has examined the link between technology transfer and organizational performance. A thorough examination of the supplied tables yields several important conclusions.

First, the Technology Transfer and Organization Performance factors exhibit high levels of internal consistency, suggesting accurate measuring tools. Furthermore, a substantial positive association is revealed between Technology Transfer and Organization Performance, accounting for around 72.3% of the variation in Organization Performance.

Thirdly, changes in organizational performance are significantly predicted by the transfer of technology, which is of considerable significance to the regression model. In addition, the capacity to forecast the effect of technology transfer is essential. Both informal and uniformed coefficients support the organization. The outcome and the lack of significant issues with multicollinearity suggest the regression (Mikalef et al., [2023](#)). Extra proof of the model's application and dependability comes from leftovers that show little volatility or a tight cluster around the standard deviation. In conclusion, these outcomes show how vital technology transfer is in affecting the achievement of a company. Well-managed technology transfer programs had the potential to considerably boost organizational performance, as illustrated by the statistical importance of the causal link and robust and repeatable regression equations. The outcome mentioned previously offers valuable insights for companies seeking to optimize efficiency by strategically

implementing transfer of technology methods.

2 Literature Review

2.1 Introduction to Technology Transfer and Organizational Performance:

It is a tricky part of organizational strategy and innovation management. Companies in a wide range of portions are beginning to explain how significant it is to transfer technology effectively to boost output, foster innovation, and maintain competitiveness in markets that are changing swiftly. This review of research attempts to shed light on the intricate relationship that exists between technology transfer and the performance of organizations and to identify the challenges that constantly shifting links present (Setiawan, [2019](#))

The technology transfer environment has changed substantially due to recent advances in communication, globalization, and growing knowledge-based economies. As a result, businesses have more chances than ever to leverage external expertise and technology to foster internal innovation and increase operational effectiveness. Understanding the multifaceted nature of technology transfer procedures and how they affect organizational performance is essential for professionals and academics to navigate today's competitive business atmosphere successfully. Technology transfer includes various operations, including joint ventures, cooperative research projects, spin-offs, and licensing conferences.

Although specific studies clarify how technology transfer improves organizations' efficiency, others concentrate on the impediments and obstacles in effectively utilizing and diffusing information. Deliberate measures will be required to overcome these challenges. Common challenges include issues with intellectual property, cultural inequalities, resource constraints, and governmental restrictions. It aims to increase our knowledge of the relationship between technology transfer and organizational effectiveness. They help stakeholders, including academic institutions, research institutes, governmental organizations, and commercial companies, exchange information and technology. Because technology transfer facilitates the movement of information, expertise, and resources, it is crucial for sparking the innovation ecosystems and fostering business expansion.

Numerous scholarly fields, including engineering, economics, sociology, and leadership, have contributed to the extensive and diverse literature on the connection between technology transfer and organizational performance. Academics have developed many conceptual models and theoretical frameworks to elucidate the mechanisms behind technology transfer processes and their impact on the performance of organizations. These frameworks provide helpful glasses that allow you to see how companies learn, integrate, and apply external information and technology to enhance their operations. Munyoki ([2007](#)) states that many theories, including the knowledge economy perspective, resource-based view, and transaction cost theory, serve as a basis for thinking. To orient future research paths and provide helpful guidance to businesses wishing to use technology transfer as a strategic tool for long-term expansion and creative thinking, this study examines philosophical perspectives, empirical evidence, and practical implications. Through a detailed analysis of the literature, this research seeks to shed light on the nuances and complexities of transfers of technology processes and how they affect how healthy organizations perform in today's rapidly changing business environment.

2.2 Theoretical Frameworks of Technology Transfer

2.2.1 Quadruple Helix Perspective

This paper examines the transfer of technology within the quad helix model's concept (industry, government, academia, and civil society) and the concept's role in helping readers understand the research of innovative, collaborative ecosystems. Miller et al. ([2018](#)) argue the implications of the developing quadruple helix hypothesis of technology transfer.

2.2.2 Entrepreneurial Ecosystem Perspective

An explanation of the entrepreneurial ecosystem's hypothesis and how it relates to technological advances transfer; - An examination of how ecosystems of entrepreneurs facilitate the sharing of knowledge and the mobilization of resources; - An investigation of the causal association between entrepreneurial atmospheres and productive entrepreneurship (Nicotra et al., [2018](#))

2.2.3 Ligancy Capability Perspective

Analysis of the relationship between organizational creativity and company performance and intelligence capabilities (Mikalef & Gupta.,[2021](#)).

2.2.4 Organizational Resilience Perspective

Synopsis of the capability-based notion of organizational resilience: an examination of readiness's role in fostering organizational resilience. Examining the resources required to preserve organizational resilience in emergencies (Duchek, [2020](#))

2.2.5 Data Analytics Competency Perspective

An examination of how data analytics proficiency might enhance a company's ability to make decisions. The planning and use of data analytics expertise inside enterprises.

An assessment of how information analysis proficiency affects the effectiveness of corporate decision-making (Ghasemaghaei et al., [2018](#))

2.2.6 Human Resource Management Practice Perspective

Analyzing how HRM practices influence the performance of firms.

We are talking about the relationship between performance and HRM efficiency in organizations. We examine the connection between management decision-making procedures and HRM practices (Anwar & Abdullah, [2021](#)).

2.2.7 Strategic Planning Perspective

An investigation of the connection between performance in organizations and strategic planning. Review of research on the impact of strategic planning on performance outcomes.

We are determining the typical biases and effects influencing how performance and strategy planning interact (George et al., [2019](#)).

2.2.8 Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Learning Organization Perspective

I am investigating the relationships among the concepts of learning organizations and corporate citizenship (OCB) conduct.

Conceptualizing how these ideas impact the performance of an organization; analyzing how employee behavior and organizational outcomes are affected by the ideas of OCB in educational organizations.

2.2.9 Organizational Agility Perspective

This study aims to define organizational agility (OA) and comprehensively review the literature. It will also examine the problems in determining and measuring organizational agility, the elements that make it, and how they affect success (Walter, [2021](#)).

2.2.10 Organizational Engagement Climate Perspective

Examining the relationship between organizational resources, engagement climate, and staff engagement. An analysis of the connections between organizational resources, participation climate, and employee engagement levels explains how an engaged working environment encourages employee participation and decision-making (Albrecht et al., [2018](#)).

2.2.11 AI-based CRM Perspective

Examining the effects of artificial intelligence (AI) on customer relationship management (CRM) on organizational performance and competitiveness, and Examining how resources within an organization help maintain a competitive advantage through the use of AI-based CRM;

Conceptualizing organizational fit and rationality for economic reasons in the context of artificially intelligent CRM decision-making.

2.2.12 Value in Business Markets Perspective

Evaluating value production processes from the standpoint of value in use instead of worth in exchange. Investigating the best ways to express and define value in corporate markets. Companies might enhance their performance by utilizing resources and talents (Eggert et al., [2018](#)).

2.3 Historical Perspectives on Technology Transfer

2.3.1 Knowledge Systems Perspective:

Assessing value production processes from the standpoint of value in use instead of worth in exchange. Investigating the best ways to express and define value in corporate markets. Speaking on how businesses might enhance their performance by utilizing both assets and skills (Eggert et al., [2018](#)).

2.3.2 University-Industry Collaboration Perspective:

Analysis of the relationship between academia and business as a source for academic entrepreneurship. Examining the obstacles and opportunities in promoting technology transfer cooperation between academic institutions and business sectors. Discuss how collaboration between academia and business may help poor nations foster innovation and economic growth. (Fischeet al., [2018](#))

2.3.3 University Technology Transfer Perspective:

An overview of higher education's rapidly expanding roles in economic growth and technological transfer. Examine the factors driving university technology transfer's (UTT) development and its effects on innovation and economic expansion. An analysis of UTT's development within the framework of funding programs (Miller et al., [2018](#))

2.3.4 Academic Entrepreneurship Ecosystem Perspective:

An analysis of the innovative and technological transfer contexts seen in educational environments. The methods by which the study of entrepreneurial and concept transfer is supported by academic business settings (Hayter et al., [2018](#)).

2.4 Factors Affecting Technology Transfer

2.4.1 Knowledge Systems Perspective:

Explaining the processes involved in decoding and transferring knowledge. Analysis of transformations facilitates the direct transfer of knowledge from the source to the receiver (Röling, [2019](#)).

2.4.2 University-Industry Research Partnerships Perspective:

Study the variables affecting knowledge transfer in collaborations among academia and business. Explaining the degree of interaction among businesses and educational institutions and how it affects the efficacy of knowledge transfer (De Wit et al., [2019](#)).

2.4.3 Entrepreneurial Ecosystem Perspective:

Investigating the variables that affect profitable entrepreneurship in communities of entrepreneurs. Locating relevant data sources and measuring methods to assess ecosystem factors' impacts on the owner of the business. Examining the elements influencing profitable business in entrepreneurial groups (Nicotra et al., [2018](#)).

2.4.4 Quadruple Helix Perspective:

An analysis of how the university's involvement in technology transfer and economic growth is proliferating. Investigation for the motivating elements behind University Technology Transfer (UTT) programs and how they affect the growth of the economy and innovation (Miller

et al., [2018](#)).

2.4.5 Business Incubators and Accelerators Perspective

An examination of the variables affecting technology transfer within company accelerators and incubators. Investigating ways of customizing programs for incubators and accelerators to satisfy the demands of various economic sectors (Hausberg & Korreck, [2021](#)).

2.4.6 Regional Agglomeration Perspective

Examination of the elements that lead to resource accumulation in entrepreneurship environments. Examining regional assets and variables affecting technology transfer and entrepreneurship (Audretsch et al., [2019](#)).

2.4.7 Composition and Disposal Perspective

Analysis of variables influencing the composition and removal of solid waste. Talk about how social and behavioral variables affect the makeup of trash and how it is disposed (Abdel-Shafy & Mansour, 2018)

2.4.8 Gut Microbiota Modulation Perspective

Analysis of factors affecting the composition of the gut microbiota. Examination of factors influencing microbiota composition and species abundance and their modulation (Hasan & Yang, [2019](#))

2.4.9 Technological Effort Perspective

They are analyzing the variables that affect technological effort and industry advancement. They are also examining the functions of technological transfers and human capital building in technical proficiency and industrial growth. These variables interact to help us comprehend the intricate dynamics of technology transfer processes and how they affect industrial expansion, economic development, and innovation.

2.5 Models and Approaches to Technology Transfer

2.5.1 Knowledge Systems Perspective:

Leveraging standard models as a need for functional connections across knowledge systems. An examination of the scientific method for clearing land and how it affects the sharing of knowledge (Röling, [2019](#))

2.5.2 Quadruple Helix Perspective

Apply a quadruple helix model to comprehend technological transfer at institutions. Analyzing key search phrases and resource distribution plans for use in a Mode 2 UTT framework (Miller et al., [2018](#))

2.5.3 Academic Entrepreneurship Ecosystems Perspective

Examine the network's significance and the makeup of the spinoff boards about resource access. Examination of analytical strategies for academic entrepreneurship ecosystem conceptualization (Hayter et al., [2018](#)).

2.5.4 Unsupervised Domain Adaptation Perspective

Investigating the strategies for unsupervised domain adaptation by source idea transfer. Analyzing process for matching target and source data distribution without gaining access to the original data (Liang et al., [2020](#)).

2.5.5 Water Quality Modeling Perspective

The quantity of water is predicted using hydrodynamic and transport models. Examining far-field transportation forecasting techniques and how water quality modeling utilizes them (Martin et al., [2018](#)).

2.5.6 Acellular Assays Perspective

Review of acellular tests for determining the potential for particle oxidation. Analyzing the connections between sources, particle structure, test techniques, and health outcomes.

2.5.7 Multi-Adversarial Domain Adaptation Perspective

An analysis of multi-adversarial domain adaptation techniques for target and source domain alignment is conducted. Examining domain adaptation objectives and methods to reconcile the source and target areas.

2.6 Linking Technology Transfer to Organizational Performance

2.6.1 Knowledge Systems Perspective

Analyzing the connection between agriculture research and technology transfer and how it affects the efficacy of organizations. Examination of the method of transformation that happens during information transfer from where it came from to the recipient (Röling et al., [2019](#)).

2.6.2 Knowledge Management Perspective

Examine the connection between organizational performance, intellectual property, and knowledge management procedures. Intellectual resources' identification and effects on business results (Abualoush et al., [2018](#)).

2.6.3 University-University Technology Transfer Perspective

Establishing a framework for strategic management aimed at facilitating technology transfer between foreign universities. Evaluation of the impact on organizational performance of collaboration across universities.

2.6.4 Environmental Innovation Perspective

Examine the relationships among organizational development, sustainable corporate success, and environmental innovation. An analysis of service capability's contribution to green growth in technology firms (Fernando et al., [2019](#)).

2.7 Empirical Studies on Technology Transfer and Organizational Performance

2.7.1 University-Industry Collaboration

It investigates how academic entrepreneurship and the creation of knowledge-intensive spin-offs are impacted by institutional openness at universities toward manufacturing partnerships (Fischer et al., 2018). Examines the conditions that affect collaboration between universities and companies and how those variables affect the success of higher education innovation (Tseng et al., [2020](#)).

2.7.2 Intention-Based Perspective of Technology Transfer

Examines the small-scale foundations for sharing information in open innovation collaborations from an intention-based viewpoint, giving concrete insights into the process (Scuotto et al., 2020). Empirical data on the importance of organizations in promoting research industrialization through business ownership (Clayton et al., [2018](#)).

2.7.3 University Technology Transfer Office Business Models

Investigates the efficacy of different university technology transfer office business models using multi-stage analysis and empirical evidence. Practical perspective on commercializing educational discoveries in transition economies: offices in contrast to directly industrial financial matters.

2.7.4 Impact of Artificial Intelligence Capability on Organizational Performance

Investigate the use of empirical research and measurement calibration and the influence of artificial intelligence capabilities on organizational innovation and corporate success (Mikalef & Gupta, [2021](#)). Analyzes with actual information the effects of environmental obtaining a patent technological transfer and mitigating climate change on economic growth and sustainable development in European nations (Ferreira et al., [2020](#)).

2.7.5 Knowledge Management and Organizational Performance

Give helpful insight into the mechanics of technology transfer and how it affects company efficiency in various industries and circumstances.

2.8 Challenges and Barriers to Technology Transfer

2.8.1 Knowledge Absorption and Difficulties

Investigates challenges to information absorption and problems encountered in collaborations among academia and business for research (De Wit et al., [2019](#)). Underscoring the necessity of allocating significant cash to remove barriers in the way university transfers technologies (Miller et al., [2018](#)).

2.8.2 Blockchain Technology in the Energy Sector:

Astute apps that point out issues and offer possible fixes (Tanwar et al., [2019](#)). Analyzes the benefits and difficulties of applying blockchain technology to the energy industry, addressing obstacles such as bureaucracy and constraints.

2.8.3 Legal Issues in Social Welfare:

Describes the process of creating the COVID-19 vaccine and immunizing the general public, highlighting issues such as technology transfer barriers and logistical challenges (Vuong et al., [2022](#)). Explores novel legal issues involving social welfare and individual rights, such as obstacles to getting legal aid and challenges disadvantaged populations face.

2.8.4 Security, Privacy, and Trust in Framework:

Examines privacy, security, and trust issues about the Internet of Everything, emphasizing several architectural levels. It is transmitted throughout various situations and industries, giving opinions on areas needing improvement and proposed solutions.

2.9 Strategies for Enhancing Technology Transfer and Organizational Performance

2.9.1 University-Industry Collaboration

Examines the variables impacting academic performance in innovation through business partnerships, highlighting the importance of effective collaboration for transmitting technology and invention (Tseng et al., [2020](#)).

2.9.2 Quality University-Industry Collaboration

Transform throughout many sectors and circumstances, providing insight into potential solutions and areas needing expansion. Analyzes confidence, safety, and privacy concerns within the Internet of Things framework, concentrating on several construction levels.

2.9.3 Knowledge Management Process

Highlights the need to use corporate assets, such as knowledge, to speed up enhancements in performance and investigates how patents and management data processes might boost business operations' effectiveness (Abualoush et al., [2018](#)).

2.9.4 Corporate Entrepreneurship and Innovation

Examines the disruptive impact of ICT adoption and entrepreneurial behavior on organizational performance, emphasizing innovative endeavors' significance in providing assets that contribute to better outcomes (Yunis et al., [2018](#)).

2.9.5 ICT and Innovation

Examines how ICT (information and communication technology) may promote creativity and improve company productivity, emphasizing the importance of adoption of ICT and efforts to foster innovation (Yunis et al., [2018](#)).

2.9.6 Intermediary Organizations

Examines how ICT (information and communication technology) may be used to promote creativity and improve efficiency in organizations, emphasizing the importance of ICT adoption and initiatives for innovation (Yunis et al., [2018](#)).

2.9.7 Quadruple Helix Perspective

The techniques above teach effective collaboration, knowledge management, and innovation and help hasten the widespread implementation of technology advancements while boosting an organization's efficacy. It emphasizes the potential and challenges of aligning university policies with the demands of technology transfer, and it discusses the importance of allocating resources efficiently to advance university information transfer (Miller et al., [2018](#)).

3 Methodology

The present research carried out an extensive examination to ascertain the impact of technology transfer on the performance of organizations. The investigation team used primary and secondary sources to get information. They started by scanning the corpus of prior studies to identify relevant concepts and elements. Then, using an organized, random sample, they picked a sample of organizations and mailed questionnaires to them to collect the essential information. The surveys were designed to collect information on technology transfer practices and how they affected different organizational performance metrics. Using statistics techniques, the researchers examined quantitative data to find correlations between technology transfer and organizational success. To do this, they also employed techniques like regression estimation. They also analyzed themes on qualitative data obtained from important figure interviews and open-ended questionnaires to improve awareness of the mechanisms and environmental factors influencing these interactions. By using this combination of approaches strategy, researchers were able to gain a comprehensive understanding of how technological transfer affects organizational performance, which has implications for corporations.

4 Result and discussion

4.1 Reliability analysis

Table 1: Reliability Statistics

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
Technology Transfer	.919	11
Organization performance	0.931	8

- The reliability analysis assesses the consistency and stability of the measurement instruments used in the study.
- Both variables, Technology Transfer and Organization Performance, exhibit high levels of internal consistency, as indicated by Cronbach's Alpha coefficients of 0.919 and 0.931, respectively.
- These high-reliability coefficients suggest that the items within each variable are highly correlated and measure the same underlying construct effectively.

Table 2: Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.850 ^a	.723	.722	4.63662	1.687

a. Predictors: (Constant), Tec transfer

b. Dependent Variable: Organizational performance

- The model summary overviews the regression model's fit and predictive power.
- The correlation coefficient (R) of 0.850 suggests a strong positive relationship between the

predictor variable (Technology Transfer) and the outcome variable (Organization Performance).

- Approximately 72.3% of the variance in Organization Performance can be explained by the predictor variable.
- The standard error of the estimate indicates the average deviation of the observed values from the predicted values, with a value of 4.63662.

Table 3: ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	11451.083	1	11451.083	532.653	.000 ^b
	Residual	4385.636	204	21.498		
	Total	15836.718	205			

a. Dependent Variable: Organization Performance

b. Predictors: (Constant), Tec transfer

- The ANOVA table tests the overall significance of the regression model.
- The regression model is highly significant ($F = 532.653$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that the predictor variable (Technology Transfer) significantly predicts changes in the outcome variable (Organization Performance).
- The sum of squares and mean squares provide information about the variance the regression model explains and the average variance per degree of freedom, respectively.

Table 4: Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		Collinearity Statistics	
		B	Std. Error				Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	.691	1.014		.682	.496	-1.308	2.690		
	Tec transfer	.697	.030	.850	23.079	.000	.637	.756	1.000	1.000

a. Dependent Variable: Organization Performance

- The coefficients table presents the coefficients for the predictor variable (Technology Transfer) in the regression model.
- The unstandardized coefficient (B) of 0.697 indicates that there is a predicted increase of 0.697 units in Organization Performance for every one-unit increase in Technology Transfer.
- The standardized coefficient (Beta) of 0.850 represents the strength and direction of the relationship between the predictor and outcome variables after standardizing the variables.
- The t-value ($t = 23.079$, $p < 0.001$) indicates the significance of the predictor variable, with a lower p-value suggesting greater significance

Table 5: Collinearity Diagnostics

Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index	Variance Proportions	
				(Constant)	Tec_transfer
1	1	1.948	1.000	.03	.03
	2	.052	6.114	.97	.97

Dependent Variable: Organization Performance Collinearity Statistics and Diagnostics:

- Collinearity diagnostics assess the presence of multicollinearity between predictor variables.
- Tolerance and VIF values provide information about the extent of multicollinearity, with

values close to 1 and below 10 indicating minimal multicollinearity.

- The Collinearity Diagnostics table suggests no significant multicollinearity issues between the predictor variable (Technology Transfer) and the constant term.

Table 6: Residuals Statistics ^a

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	9.0527	39.0153	22.8738	7.47388	206
Residual	-16.16962	11.55369	.00000	4.62529	206
Std. Predicted Value	-1.849	2.160	.000	1.000	206
Std. Residual	-3.487	2.492	.000	.998	206

Dependent Variable: Organization Performance Residuals Statistics:

- Residual statistics provide insights into the distribution and characteristics of the residuals in the regression model.
- The mean residual close to zero indicates that, on average, the observed values are similar to the predicted values.
- The standard deviation of the residuals provides information about the variability or dispersion of the residuals around the mean.
- The minimum and maximum values indicate the range of residuals observed in the data, while the N column specifies the number of observations included in the analysis.

In summary, the tables collectively demonstrate a strong and significant relationship between Technology Transfer and Organizational Performance, and the regression model exhibits high reliability and fit.

5 Conclusion

A careful analysis of the tables given shows a substantial correlation between technology transfer and organization performance in business settings. The research highlights several significant insights. First, the indicators of Technology Transfer and Organization Performance exhibit a high degree of internal consistency, indicating the use of precise measurement instruments. The second, responsible for around 72.3% of the variation in the latter, demonstrates the considerable positive correlation between technology transfer and organizational performance. Demonstrate the high significance of the regression model to show that technology transfer highly predicts alterations in organizational performance. Both random and standardized coefficients reinforce the degree and magnitude of the link between technology transfer and company performance.

The idea that adequate supervision of information transfer projects could substantially boost organizational outcomes is supported by the regression model's robustness, repeatability, and statistical significance for the association. These observations provide firms looking for ways to strategically employ technological transfer methods to maximize performance with insightful recommendations. It confirms the reliability of the regression model by pointing to insignificant multi-collinearity problems. The residuals are tightly grouped around the mean and show slight fluctuation, confirming the suitability and dependability of the model.

5.1 Study Limitation

Although the study on how technology transfer affects company efficiency in Pakistan has yielded insightful information, some limitations should be acknowledged as they may have affected how the results should be interpreted and used. The research's original focus on Pakistani groups restricted how far its findings could be implemented. In various contexts, diverse social, cultural, and legal factors may impact the dynamics associated with technology migration and its impact on

organizational performance in different ways. Second, because the study used cross-sectional data, it could not fully capture the unpredictable nature of technology transfer strategies and how such affect the achievement of a company over the long run.

Further study might examine these disparities to offer more nuanced insights. In addition, the investigation did not thoroughly examine the specific forms and techniques of information technology transfers, so their effects on organizational performance may differ. Lastly, the study may have ignored contextual and qualitative factors that clarified what is truly occurring at work in favor of a quantitative investigation of the impact of contemporary technology dissemination on organizational performance.

As with any study in science, it is possible that some missing or unaccounted-for factors have muddled the observed associations, at the very least. To lessen this constraint, robustness examination, and sensitivity evaluation may be helpful in upcoming research projects. By overcoming such barriers, we might better understand the intricate relationship between the spread of technology and organizational effectiveness.

5.2 Practice Implementation

Implementing the findings of the study "Impact of Technology Transfer on Organizational Performance" in Pakistan entails a series of practical steps tailored to the local context. Here is a concise outline of how organizations in Pakistan can leverage technology transfer to enhance their performance: Research groups, universities, and technology suppliers must highlight their partnerships with both national and international organizations in order to effectively transmit knowledge, expertise, and innovations linked to the industry or sector of the firm. Subsequently, companies must devote resources to R&D projects specially designed to meet their requirements. Organizations may successfully innovate and adapt technology to meet local conditions and market demands through research and development (R&D). Thirdly, businesses should concentrate on training programs to ensure employees have the ability to deploy and use transfer technologies. This may include workshops, skill-development applications, and further education for relevant workforce personnel.

Fourthly, creating an encouraging workplace culture that fosters innovation, trial and error, and information sharing is important. To ensure employees are free to experiment with new ideas and resources without fear of making errors, leaders ought to create a culture of support. Fifth, monitoring and evaluation procedures must be put in place to assess how technology transfer initiatives impact an organization's performance. Key performance indicators, or KPIs for short, should be regularly evaluated to identify areas for development and provide useful data on the effectiveness of various tactics.

Sixth, businesses may access more resources and possibilities by exploiting government incentives and support programs meant to promote technology transfer and growth. By collaborating with political and commercial associations, organizations can obtain funding, grants, and other forms of support. Ultimately, fostering a culture that values continuous learning and adaptation is essential. Businesses should always be adaptive and aware of how the outside world changes, always searching for new technologies and methods to improve. By implementing these workable strategies, businesses in Pakistan can utilize technology transfer to foster innovation, raise productivity, and enhance overall organizational performance. Ultimately, this would increase the neighborhood economy's productivity and attractiveness.

6 References

- Abdel-Shafy, H. I., & Mansour, M. S. (2018). Solid waste issue: Sources, composition, disposal, recycling, and valorization. *Egyptian journal of petroleum*, 27(4), 1275-1290
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpe.2018.07.003>

- Abualoush, S., Bataineh, K., & et al. (2018). The role of knowledge management process and intellectual capital in enhancing organizational performance. *International Journal of Information, Knowledge, and Management*, 18(4), 45-64. <https://doi.org/10.28945/4088>
- Albrecht, S., Breidahl, E., & Marty, A. (2018). Organizational resources, organizational engagement climate, and employee engagement. *Career Development International*, 23(1), 67-85. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CDI-04-2017-0064>
- Anwar, G., & Abdullah, N. N. (2021). The impact of Human resource management practice on Organizational performance. *International journal of Engineering, Business and Management (IJEEM)*, 5.
- Audretsch, D. B., Cunningham, J. A., Kuratko, D. F., Lehmann, E. E., & Menter, M. (2019). Entrepreneurial ecosystems: economic, technological, and societal impacts. *The Journal of technology transfer*, 44, 313-325. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-017-9959-2>
- Claver et al. (2014), Formal Scientific and Technical Institutions in the National System of Innovation, in: Lundvall B. (ed.), *National Systems of Innovation: Towards a Theory of Innovation and Interactive Learning*, Pinter, London, 169-187
- Clayton, P., Feldman, M., & Lowe, N. (2018). Behind the scenes: Intermediary organizations that facilitate science commercialization through entrepreneurship. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 32(2), 182-201. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2016.0133>
- De Wit-de Vries, E., Dolfsma, W. A., & Duysters, G. (2019). Knowledge transfer in university–industry research partnerships: A review. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 44(5), 1231-1260. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-018-9660-x>
- Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: a capability-based conceptualization. *Business research*, 13(1), 215-246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-019-0085-7>
- Eggert, A., Ulaga, W., Frow, P., & Payne, A. (2018). Conceptualizing and communicating value in business markets: From value in exchange to value in use. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 69, 80-90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2018.01.018>
- Fernando, Y., Jabbour, C. J. C., & Wah, W. X. (2019). Pursuing green growth in technology firms through the connections between environmental innovation and sustainable business performance: does service capability matter. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, 141, 8-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.09.031>
- Ferreira, J. J., Fernandes, C. I., & Ferreira, F. A. (2020). Technology transfer, climate change mitigation, and environmental patent impact on sustainability and economic growth: A comparison of European countries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 150, 119770. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119770>
- Fischer, B. B., Schaeffer, P. R., Vonortas, N. S., & et al. (2018). Quality comes first: University–industry collaboration as a source of academic entrepreneurship. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 43(4), 892-912. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-017-9568-x>
- George, B., Walker, R. M., & Monster, J. (2019). Does strategic planning improve organizational performance. *A meta-analysis. Public Administration Review*, 79(6), 810-819. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13104>
- Ghasemaghaei, M., Ebrahimi, S., & Hassanein, K. (2018). Data analytics competency for improving firm decision making performance. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 27(1), 101-113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2017.10.001>
- Hasan, N., & Yang, H. (2019). Factors affecting the composition of the gut microbiota, and its modulation. *PeerJ*, 7, e7502. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7502>
- Hausberg, J. P., & Korreck, S. (2021). Business incubators and accelerators: a co-citation analysis-based, systematic literature review, 39-63. *Edward Elgar Publishing*. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788974783.00009>
- Hayter, C. S., Nelson, A. J., Zayed, S., & O'Connor, A. C. (2018). Conceptualizing academic entrepreneurship ecosystems: A review, analysis and extension of the literature. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 43, 1039-1082. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-018->

[9657-5](#)

- Kiseleva., (2008): The Influence of technology transfer R&D on Industrial Research, The Review of Economics and Statistics, *November*, 85(4), 1003-1020.
- Kolosnitsina, 2008: *Die volkswirtschaftliche Relevanz der Institutionen des ETH-Bereichs: Stand der Literatur, Fragestellungen, Vorgehen*, September, Zürich
- Liang, J., Hu, D., & Feng, J. (2020, November). *Do we really need to access the source data*. Source hypothesis transfer for unsupervised domain adaptation. In *International conference on machine learning* (pp. 6028-6039).
- Martin, J. L., Schottman, R. W., & McCutcheon, S. C. (2018). Hydrodynamics and transport for water quality modeling. CRC press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203751510>
- Mikalef, P., & Gupta, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence capability: Conceptualization, measurement calibration, and empirical study on its impact on organizational creativity and firm performance. *Information & Management*, 58(3), 103434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2021.103434>
- Miller, K., McAdam, R., & McAdam, M. (2018). A systematic literature review of university technology transfer from a quadruple helix perspective: toward a research agenda. *R&D Management*, 48(1), 7-24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/radm.12228>
- Munyoki, J. M. (2007). *The Effects of Technology Transfer O Organizational Performance: a Study of Medium and Large Manufacturing Firms in Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Nicotra, M., Romano, M., Del Giudice, M., & Schillaci, C. E. (2018). The causal relation between entrepreneurial ecosystem and productive entrepreneurship: A measurement framework. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 43, 640-673. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-017-9628-2>
- Noland and Pack (2007). *Technology Transfer and Public Policy: A Review of Research and Theory*.
- Röling, N. (2019). The agricultural research-technology transfer interface: A knowledge systems perspective. *Making the Link*. Taylor & Francis.
- Sallan, J. M., & Lordan, O. (2024). University technology transfer: leveraging experiential learning and TTO's resources. *Small Business Economics*, 1-17.
- Scuotto, V., Beatrice, O., Valentina, C., Nicotra, M., Di Gioia, L., & Briamonte, M. F. (2020). Uncovering the micro-foundations of knowledge sharing in open innovation partnerships: An intention-based perspective of technology transfer. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 152, 119906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119906>
- Setiawan, R. C., Susanti, E., & Syah, T. Y. R. (2019). The Effect of Organizational Culture on Technology Transfers and Company Performance. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Academic*, 3(3), 32-37.
- Stock, B. C., Jackson, A. L., Ward, E. J., Parnell, A. C., Phillips, D. L., & Semmens, B. X. (2018). Analyzing mixing systems using a new generation of Bayesian tracer mixing models. *PeerJ*, 6, e5096.
- Sung (2009) Hochschul-Industrie-Partnerschaften, *ETH Bulletin Zürich Nr. 285*, November.
- Tanwar, S., Bhatia, Q., Patel, P., Kumari, A., Singh, P. K., & Hong, W. C. (2019). Machine learning adoption in blockchain-based smart applications: The challenges, and a way forward. *IEEE Access*, 8, 474-488.
- Tseng, F. C., Huang, M. H., & Chen, D. Z. (2020). Factors of university–industry collaboration affecting university innovation performance. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 45, 560-577. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-018-9656-6>
- Vuong, Q. H., Le, T. T., La, V. P., Nguyen, H. T. T., Ho, M. T., Van Khuc, Q., & Nguyen, M. H. (2022). Covid-19 vaccines production and societal immunization under the serendipity-mindsponge-3D knowledge management theory and conceptual framework. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-022-01034-6>

- Walter, A. T. (2021). Organizational agility: ill-defined and somewhat confusing? A systematic literature review and conceptualization. *Management Review Quarterly*, 71(2), 343-391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-020-00186-6>
- Yunis, M., Tarhini, A., & Kassar, A. (2018). Technology transfer, climate change mitigation, and environmental patent impact on sustainability and economic growth: A comparison of European countries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 132, 92-104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119770>
- Zamfir, F. E. (2020, July). The impact of knowledge transfer on the Organizational performance. *In Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence* (Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 577-588).